

**TECHNICAL SHEETS OF THE  
EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY**

**HORIZONTAL RECOMMENDATION FOR USE SHEETS (RfUs)  
related to Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC  
Status in February 2026**

<b>Number CNB/M/ <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Revision (Rev)</b>	<b>Key words</b>	<b>Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs <sup>(2)</sup> on:</b>	<b>Approved by Vertical Group of NBs <sup>(2)</sup> on:</b>	<b>Endorsed by Machinery Expert Group/MWG on:</b>
00.001	43	Key addresses	04/02/2026	-	-
00.100	05	Recommendation for Use (RfUs) – Content - Addressees	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025
00.220	05	Guards	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025
00.230	06	Low voltage, tests, report, declaration, electrical components	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025
00.240	03	Internal arrangements, series production, quality assurance (generalization of CNB/M/03.003)	26/11/2009	-	08/06/1998
00.250	07	Notified bodies, operational procedures, duties, certificates	29/06/2016	-	31/01/2018
00.251	06	EC type-examination of a modified Machinery	28/06/2012	-	17/01/2013
00.252	03	EC type-examination, series manufacture, internal checks	14/12/2010	26/10/2010	23/05/2011
00.254	04	EC type-examination certificate, validity, renewal by original NB	18/06/2014	-	08/01/2015
00.255	03	Performance Levels, categories, SILs, hardware fault tolerance	10/12/2013	-	15/04/2014
00.256	06	EC type-examination, external test facilities, laboratory, manufacturer	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025
00.301	03	Component, manual handling	26/11/2009	-	08/06/1998
00.502	06	EMC, Emissions, Immunity	15/06/2010	-	30/12/2010
00.503	02	Sales literature	29/06/2016	-	31/01/2018
00.505	02	Airborne noise declaration, instruction manual	14/06/2022	-	23/03/2023
00.506	04	Documents to be required for the assessment of the technical file in an EC type-examination procedure	16/12/2021	-	23/03/2023
00.510	02	Remote assessment activities	31/05/2023	-	12/04/2024
00.511	02	Refusal to issue certificate, Withdrawal of a certificate, suspension of a certificate, Restriction of a certificate	18/12/2023	-	17/10/2024
00.517	02	Validity of EC Type-examination certificates in relation to Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  Article 52 – point 2 of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025

(1): CNB/M/xx.xxx RERev yy = Coordination of Notified Bodies/Machinery/Numbering of the RfUs  
R: Recommendation for Use E: English version Rev: Revision yy: index of the Revision

(2): NBs = Notified Bodies

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.001 Revision: 43 Language: EN
Number of pages: 4	Date: 04.02.2026	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: Technical Secretariat		<input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	- 10.12.2025 Endorsed on: Not required
Question related to: -	Article: -	EN/prEN: -	Other: -
Annex: -	EHSR (1): -	Normative clause: -	Other clause: -
CEN TC concerned: -			
Key words: Key addresses			
Question:  What are the key addresses of the European Co-ordination of the notified bodies for Machinery Directive?			
Solution:  The key addresses of the coordination are given in the following pages.			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the “Blue Guide” on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

**EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION FOR MACHINERY AND SAFETY COMPONENTS  
CHAIRMAN, VICE-CHAIRMAN, SECRETARIATS AND CONVENORS OF THE CO-ORDINATION GROUP FOR NOTIFIED BODIES**

<b>H.C or V.G. N°</b>	<b>Title of the group</b>	<b>Convenor</b>	<b>Secretary</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Address</b>
0	Horizontal Committee	<b>Mr Giuseppe PERSANO ADORNO (Chairman)</b>  <b>Mr Philip PIERLOZ (Vice-Chairman)</b>		NB 0407 Istituto Giordano S.p.A. - Headquarters	Via G. Rossini, 2 47814 Bellaria-Igea Marina (RN) - Italy Phone: +39 0541 322.232 Mobile: +39 335 5774778 E-mail: <a href="mailto:g.persano@giordano.it">g.persano@giordano.it</a>
				NB 0026 VINÇOTTE sa/nv	Jan Olieslagerslaan 35 B-1800 Vilvoorde, Belgium Phone: +32 478 80 05 37 E-mail: <a href="mailto:ppierloz@vincotte.be">ppierloz@vincotte.be</a>
			Ms. Sara BALZANO Technical Secretariat	ABERTECH	Corso Verona 45/A I-38068 Rovereto, Italy <a href="http://www.abertech.it">www.abertech.it</a> Phone: +39 0464 486 333
		Administrative Secretariat	Downtown Europe	Av. AJ Slegers, 397/2 - 1200 Brussels, Belgium <a href="http://www.downtowneuropa.be">www.downtowneuropa.be</a> Phone: +32 (0)2 732 35 20	
1	Woodworking machinery	<b>Mr Roland HERRMANN</b>	Mr Roland HERRMANN	NB 0158 DEKRA Testing and Certification GmbH	Enderstraße 92b 01277 Dresden, Germany Phone: +49 351 211 814 30 Fax: +49 351 211 814 11 E-mail: <a href="mailto:Roland.Herrmann@dekra.com">Roland.Herrmann@dekra.com</a> <a href="http://www.dekra-testing-and-certification.de">www.dekra-testing-and-certification.de</a>
2	Meatworking machinery	<b>Mr Olaf GOEBEL</b>	Mr Olaf GOEBEL	NB 0556 Berufsgenossenschaft Nahrungsmittel und Gastgewerbe Geschäftsbereich Prävention	Lortzingstraße 2 D-55127 Mainz, Germany Phone: +49 6131 785645 E-mail: <a href="mailto:olaf.goebel@bgn.de">olaf.goebel@bgn.de</a>
3	Presses for the cold working of metals	<b>Mr Marco MAZZINI</b>		NB 0398 APAVE Italia CPM	Via Artigiani, 63 I-25040 Bienno (BS), Italy Phone: +39 039 8.96.96 Fax: +39 039 38.99.47 E-mail: <a href="mailto:marco.mazzini@apave.com">marco.mazzini@apave.com</a>

**EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION FOR MACHINERY AND SAFETY COMPONENTS  
CHAIRMAN, VICE-CHAIRMAN, SECRETARIATS AND CONVENORS OF THE CO-ORDINATION GROUP FOR NOTIFIED BODIES**

<b>V.G. or H.C N°</b>	<b>Title of the group</b>	<b>Convenor</b>	<b>Secretary</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Address</b>
4	Injection or compression moulding machines	<b>Mr. Thomas KOESTER</b>		NB 0197 TÜV Rheinland LGA Products GmbH	Am Grauen Stein 29 D-51105 Köln, Germany Phone: +49 221 806 2685 Cell.: +49 172 2050 476 Fax: +49 221 806 369667 E-mail: <a href="mailto:thomas.koester@de.tuv.com">thomas.koester@de.tuv.com</a> <a href="http://www.tuv.com/safety">www.tuv.com/safety</a>
5	Machines for underground work				
6	Refuse collection vehicles	<b>Mr Heinz-Peter HENNECKE</b>	Ms Manuela JADISCHKE	NB 0417 Prüf- und Zertifizierungsstelle des FB Verkehr und Landschaft im DGUV TEST	Wiesbadener Straße, 70 D-65197 Wiesbaden, Germany Phone: +49 611 9413 152 Fax: +49 611 9413 208 E-mail: <a href="mailto:heinz-peter.hennecke@bg-verkehr.de">heinz-peter.hennecke@bg-verkehr.de</a> E-mail: <a href="mailto:manuela.jadischke@bg-verkehr.de">manuela.jadischke@bg-verkehr.de</a>
7	Removable transmission cardan shafts				
8	Vehicles servicing lifts	<b>Mr Tobias HENKE</b>	Ms Steffi BRÜCKNER	NB 0417 Prüf- und Zertifizierungsstelle des FB Verkehr und Landschaft im DGUV Test	Hofmühlenstraße 4 D-01187 Dresden, Germany Phone: +49 (0) 351 423 6 521 Fax: +49 (0) 351 4236 591 E-mail: <a href="mailto:tobias.henke@bg-verkehr.de">tobias.henke@bg-verkehr.de</a> E-mail: <a href="mailto:steffi.brueckner@bg-verkehr.de">steffi.brueckner@bg-verkehr.de</a>
9	Lifting persons device (LPD)	<b>Mr Anton SEIDL</b>		NB 0036 TÜV Süd Industrie Service GmbH	Westendstrasse 199 D-80686 München, Germany Phone: +49 (0) 89 57912193 E-mail: <a href="mailto:anton.seidl@tuvsud.com">anton.seidl@tuvsud.com</a>
10	This VG does not exist anymore				

**EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION FOR MACHINERY AND SAFETY COMPONENTS  
CHAIRMAN, VICE-CHAIRMAN, SECRETARIATS AND CONVENORS OF THE CO-ORDINATION GROUP FOR NOTIFIED BODIES**

V.G. or H.C N°	Title of the group	Convenor	Secretary	Organisation	Address
11	Safety components	<b>Mr Eric FAE</b>	<b>Mr Eric FAE</b>	NB 0080 INERIS Insrtitut national de l'environnement industriel et des risques	Parc Technologique ALATA - BP 2 F-60550 Verneuil en Halatte, France Phone: +33 (0)3-44-55-64-56 E-mail: <a href="mailto:eric.fae@ineris.fr">eric.fae@ineris.fr</a> <a href="http://www.ineris.fr">www.ineris.fr</a>
12	ROPS and FOPS	<b>Mr Peter WINKLER</b>	<b>Mr Peter WINKLER</b>	NB 0515 DGUV Test Prüf- und Zertifizierungsstelle Fachbereich Bauwesen	Am Knie 6 81241 München, Germany Phone: +49 89 8897-876 Fax: +49 800 6686688-38470 E-mail: <a href="mailto:peter.winkler@bgbau.de">peter.winkler@bgbau.de</a> <a href="http://www.dguv.de/fb-bauwesen/pruefzert/index.jsp">www.dguv.de/fb-bauwesen/pruefzert/index.jsp</a>
13	Full quality assurance	<b>Ms Giuseppe PERSANO</b> (interim role)	-	NB 0051 IMQ ISTITUTO ITALIANO DEL MARCHIO DI QUALITÀ	Via Quintiliano, 43 20138 – MILANO - Italy Phone: + 39 0541 322.232 E-mail: <a href="mailto:g.persano@giordano.it">g.persano@giordano.it</a>
14	Portable cartridge-operated fixing and impact machinery				

**EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION FOR MACHINERY AND SAFETY COMPONENTS  
OBSERVERS**

Organisation	Observers	Address
European Commission DG GROW Ecosystems III: Construction & Machinery Unit H.2: Machinery & Equipment	<b>Mr Peter BROERTJES</b>	Avenue d'Auderghem 45 / Oudergemsesteenweg 45 1040 Bruxelles / Brussel Belgium Email: <a href="mailto:Peter.BROERTJES@ec.europa.eu">Peter.BROERTJES@ec.europa.eu</a>
CEN - CENELEC	<b>Mr Hugo DOURADO</b>	Rue de la Science 23 1040 Bruxelles / Brussel Belgium Email: <a href="mailto:hdourado@cencenelec.eu">hdourado@cencenelec.eu</a>

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.100 Revision: 05 Language: EN
Number of pages: 2 Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 07.11.2025
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Annex: -	Article: - EHSR (1): -	EN/prEN: - Normative clause: - CEN TC concerned: -	Other: - Other clause: -
Key words: Recommendation for Use (RfUs) – Content - Addressees			
Question:  What are the acceptable purposes/contents of the Recommendations for Use (RfUs) and who are the addressees of the RfUs?			
Solution:  1) Before bringing a Recommendation for Use to the attention of the Horizontal Committee and after to the Machinery Expert Group of the European Commission, the writers of the RfUs must apply the following tests:  1.1) Does the Recommendation for Use add value, i.e. does it provide additional information that is not available in the directive/regulation or the relevant harmonised standard?  The added values can be for example as follows: a) to support the clarification of requirement(s) of standards and provide a solution; b) to provide a solution that supersedes a too generic requirement of a standard by providing an alternative solution for a specific application; c) to provide an additional solution besides those from the standard to meet the goal(s) of the directive/regulation in an alternative way.  If the RfUs do not add value, the issues raised by the document should be included in the minutes of the meeting of the relevant Vertical Group but not presented as Recommendation for Use.  1.2) Is the Recommendation for Use of a horizontal nature, i.e. applicable to more than one Vertical Group? Such questions should be agreed and documented at Vertical Group level and passed to the chairperson of the Horizontal Committee and the Technical Secretariat for agreement and submission as a horizontal document.  1.3) Are the wordings of the Recommendation for Use clear and so that readers who have not attended the Vertical Group or Horizontal Committee meetings can easily understand the question and answer?  1.4) Are the RfUs consistent with the actual safety level to be applied (e.g. wording of directive, standard, decision of the Machinery Expert Group, publication of the European Commission, etc)? It is not permissible to specify a level of safety below that described in the above documents. Where realization of an adequate safety level can be achieved by a solution not described in a harmonized standard, evidence shall be provided in a transparent and comprehensible way that the Vertical Group solution meets the requirements and is therefore acceptable. Such evidence should be sufficient to support the solution in the event of challenge from a Member State.			

1.5) If the level of safety specified in the applicable standard appears to be too low, or if an aspect of a standard that is doubtlessly wrong or seems to not fully meet the goal of the directive/regulation, the relevant interested parties (CEN/CENELEC TC, European Commission) shall be informed immediately.

Before decision is taken, the Vertical Group shall discuss the matter in order to reach a common agreement on how to proceed with the assessment of the conformity.

However, if the questions require an urgent solution the notified body who detected the possible deficiency(ies) or mistake(s) can start within the VG members a quick enquiry in order to collect answers within a reasonable period of time (less than 3 months).

If the question(s) are deemed to be of general interest, the Horizontal Committee shall also be informed.

The Member States and the European Commission are automatically informed through the minutes of the meetings of the Horizontal Committee.

2) The RfUs, "endorsed" by the Machinery Expert Group shall be sent firstly by the Technical Secretariat to the NBs who are responsible for their implementation. The TS shall send the "endorsed" RfUs to the CEN/CENELEC TCs and to the European Commission in order to be uploaded in EUROPA Website.

The manufacturer of the machinery concerned has the ongoing responsibility of ensuring that he said machinery meets the corresponding state of the art (MD Annex IX point 9.2, MR Annex VII point 7.3). State of the art is described in the harmonised standards; RfUs provide explanations and rules for implementing the clauses of the harmonised standards.

3) The fact of a standard being transferred to the ISO does not change either its status or the status of RfUs.

4) If a manufacturer applies a technical solution described in a RfU which deviates from the technical solution described in a harmonised C-standard, he must involve a NB because the machinery would not totally comply with the harmonised C-standard.

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the "Blue Guide" on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.220 Revision: 05 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1  Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 07.11.2025
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  Annex: I (MD), III (MR)	Article: -  EHSR (1): 1.3.7 and 1.4	EN/prEN: -  Normative clause: -  CEN TC concerned: -	Other: -  Other clause: -
Key words: Guards			
Question:  Assuming a machine meets all essential safety requirements of the directive/regulation. The manufacturer of this machine adds for any reason an additional guard. Shall this additional guard meet all the requirements of the directive/regulation as defined for guards in requirement 1.4?			
Solution:  Yes.  Any part of a machine regarded as a safety guard shall meet all the requirements of the directive/regulation as defined for guards in requirement 1.4.  E.g.: A manufacturer fits a fixed guard, which prevents access to a hazard area, with an interlocking not required by the directive/regulation or the relevant standards. The interlocking might be understood as a safe shut off of all hazard movements of machine parts behind the fixed guard and the user may omit turning the power switch. Both the fixed guard and the interlocking shall comply with the essential health and safety requirements.			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the "Blue Guide" on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.230 Revision: 06 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1  Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 07.11.2025
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  Annex: I (MD), III (MR)	Article: -  EHSR (1): 1.5.1	EN/prEN: -  Normative clause: -  CEN TC concerned: -	Other: -  Other clause: -
Key words: Low voltage, tests, report, declaration, electrical components			
Question:  To what extent can a notified body accept certificates for electrical/electronic components of machinery?			
Solution:  The intention is to create a document that may be used by all Notified Bodies to determine the acceptability of electrical components.  <b>EXAMPLES</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The list of components given in the columns is non exhaustive and only meant as indication.</li> <li>2. In all cases, the actual use of the component has to be considered and it has to be decided if it is used as a functional or as a safety component.</li> <li>3. It should be checked whether the declaration and/or certificate of conformity with a specific directive (EMC, Low voltage) or a standard allow to cover the specific requirements of the Machinery Directive/Regulation for the component concerned.</li> </ol>			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the "Blue Guide" on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES</b> <b>MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>	CNB/M/00.240 Revision 03 Language: E
Date of first stage: 30/09/1996	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: Horizontal Committee - generalization of CNB/M/03.003	<input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee .....  To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Working Group..	26/11/2009  Endorsed on: 08/06/1998
Question related to: Dir. 2006/42/EC    Article: Annex: IX-Point 2 et Annex VII-A 1, b)    EHSR (1):	EN/prEN: Clause: CEN TC concerned:	Other: Other clause:
Key words: Internal arrangements, series production, quality assurance		
Question: In the EC type-examination requested dossier what shall "the internal arrangements for maintaining the conformity of machines and safety components manufactured in series" contain? What are the acceptance criteria for the Notified Body?		
Solution: Annex IX point 2. and Annex VII-A 1. b) require that the technical dossier contains the internal arrangements established to ensure that the conformity of machines and safety components manufactured in series meet the requirements of the Directive. The notified body cannot require the manufacturer to present a quality manual conforming to the series EN ISO 9-000 standards (preferably 9001). If the firm has set up such a system it is enough to have a copy of the certificate. Otherwise, the notified body will be satisfied with a commitment from the manufacturer to ensure the homogeneity of manufacturing together with a concise description of the means of control. The controlling may rest on : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- foreign bought parts, components,</li> <li>- during production,</li> <li>- final check before delivering the machines/safety components.</li> <li>- check list for the final check</li> <li>- external compliance</li> </ul>  <b>Adaptation procedure: FORMAL ADAPTATION IN CONFORMITY WITH DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC</b>		

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES</b> <b>MACHINERY DIRECTIVE 2006/42/EC + Amendment</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>	CNB/M/00.250 Revision 07 Language: E
Date of first stage: 02/12/1999	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: Horizontal Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee.....	29/06/2016
	To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Working Group....	31/01/2018
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: XI	Clause:	
ESR (1):	CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: notified bodies, operational procedures, duties, certificates:		
Question: What are the operational procedures and duties of a notified body once it has been requested to issue an EC type-examination certificate		
<p style="color: red;">This revision 07 is a textual modification of the previous version as a result of remarks at the HC-meeting #45 during 28-29 June 2016 in Warsaw. The modification is in par. 2.3 shown by tracked changes.</p>		
Solution:		
<p>The rights and duties of a notified body are defined firstly by the Directive itself. Some useful indications can be found in guides published by the European Commission, and specially the "Guide to application of the machinery directive – 2006/42/EC" Reference to these guides is sometimes made in this "Recommendation for Use". The main purpose of this document is to highlight some aspects which are specific to the activities of a notified body acting within the framework of the Machinery Directive.</p>		

(1) Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use

**Table of contents**

- 1. Basic Principles**
- 2. Typical content of an EC type-examination**
  - 2.1. General
  - 2.2. Documents to be supplied by the manufacturer (and to be verified by the notified body)
  - 2.3. Language required for the documents of machinery
  - 2.4. Inspections (tests, measurements, visual checks.....as applicable)
  - 2.5. Documents to be issued by the notified body
- 3. Subcontracting – Acceptability of certificates, reports and data supplied by the manufacturer**
  - 3.1. Electro-technical components subject to the Low Voltage and EMC Directives

- 3.2. Components and safety components manufactured by specialised firms and included by the machinery manufacturer in his product
- 3.3. Parameters considered to be less critical

#### 4. EC Type-examination certificate

#### 5. Organisational procedures

- 5.1. How can it be assured that the manufacturer has not presented the same file to two or even several notified bodies? How can it be assured that the manufacturer does not re-submit a file having been the subject of a previous EC type-examination certificate refusal decision?
- 5.2. How to harmonise the practical interpretation of the Directive when the product does not comply with an harmonised standard
- 5.3. What action should be taken if deficiencies and/or mistakes in standards are detected ?
- 5.4. For how long must the EC type-examination files be stored by the notified body?

### 1. BASIC PRINCIPLES

As a starting point, it is felt important to confirm some principles

- It is not possible to carry-out an EC type examination for machinery not listed in annex IV. However, a notified body can carry out a voluntary examination for a machinery not listed in annex IV on request of an applicant or a manufacturer. In this case, the notified body shall not mention its European identification number on the voluntary examination-certificate<sup>1</sup>
- A body does not need to be notified for all machinery/safety components covered by Annex IV<sup>2</sup>. The notified body must know which harmonised standards apply to the machine examined and must know how to apply them. If the solutions proposed by the manufacturer differ from the requirements of the standards, the notified body shall make sure that the safety level of these solutions is not lower than the level recommended by the harmonised standards.
- The task of a notified body in the field of Machinery is restricted to an examination of conformity with the Machinery Directive.

The notified body, as per Article 14 of Directive 2006/42/EC, which is responsible for carrying out the EC type-examination procedure defined in Article 12 (3) (b) and Article 12 (4) (a) for a machine specified in Annex IV, is only required to carry out the operations defined in the above mentioned Article and in Annex IX.

In particular, where a machine or one of its components is subject to Community Directives other than the Directive 2006/42/EC, there is no requirement to check whether these other Directives are being respected. In which case, the notified body must draw the attention of the contractor to his obligation to complete his technical file (also termed technical documentation or technical construction file) with reference to other Directives applicable to the machine.

In effect, the manufacturer must ensure that these other Directives are being respected, and pursuant to Article 5 (4), the CE marking affixed by him or his authorised representative (article 5 (1) (f)) in accordance with article 16 means that the machine also conforms to the provisions of those Directives<sup>3</sup>.

If other Directives (low voltage, EMC, etc.) apply to the machine or to some of its components, that is the manufacturer's problem (See also CNB/M/11.025/R/E). In other words, supplying an EC type-examination certificate does not necessarily mean that the machinery may carry the CE marking as it may not conform with the EMC Directive. However, the notified body should draw the attention of the manufacturer to the existence of other Directives which apply to his product.

---

<sup>1</sup> This is the text of CNB/M/00.105/R/E Rev 01 now replaced by this Recommendation for Use

<sup>2</sup> European Commission - Responses given by the services of the Commission after consultation of the committee set up by the Directive,

to some questions relating to the implementation of the Directive - question 6 - June 97

<sup>3</sup> Useful information on the directives that may apply in a complementary way to machinery can be found in § 89 of the "Guide to the application of the machinery directive 2006/42/EC"

Secondly, here are a few guidelines with regard to the essential requirements that the notified body must actually verify. This will be defined in more detail under paragraph 2.3.

- The notified body must carry out a thorough examination of the risk assessment performed and documented by the manufacturer.
- In certain cases the notified body takes into account data provided by the manufacturer (test reports, certificates, etc.). This will be discussed with more details in paragraph 2.2. hereafter.
- The notified body does not normally have to deal with certain criteria such as, for instance testing vibrations in the case of motor vehicle lifts.

## **2. TYPICAL CONTENT OF AN EC TYPE-EXAMINATION**

Based on the general information defined above and the field information provided by several Vertical Groups, a list defining the "typical" content of an EC type-examination has been established for "simple" machines (without sophisticated electronic steering.....). The aim is to consolidate the practical consequences of the general principles as implemented today. Of course, every type of machine is specific. Some of the examinations are critical for certain machines and not relevant to others. For instance, the calculation of stability is not critical for a heavy press and can be very important for a lifting platform.

This list sets out the points that need to be taken into consideration in view of the specific nature of each type of machine. As we point out when presenting the list of documents to be supplied by the manufacturer, these points are sorted in logical rather than chronological order.

### **2.1. General**

- Contract (mutual obligations). Although a contract is not explicitly foreseen in the directive, this might be a good way to confirm mutual understanding of regulatory duties for both parties, for instance the duty of the applicant to inform the notified body which retains the technical file of all modifications of the approved type. (Annex IX paragraph 6).

- Acceptability of the request and completeness of the technical file as provided by the applicant (manufacturer, authorised representative.....)

One of the issues is related to the obligation for the manufacturer to include in its application a written declaration that the application has not been submitted to another notified body (Annex IX second paragraph, second bullet point).

It has to be clear that the intention of this requirement is not to restrict the manufacturer from obtaining several quotations, but simply prevent the practice of going from one Notified Body to another until one will issue EC type approval. It is permissible for the Manufacturer to approach one or more Notified Bodies and invite them to issue a quotation for providing the necessary assessment services required by Annex IX of the Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC. The Notified Bodies that have been approached may require the manufacturer to supply relevant information to enable them to prepare the required quotation. This information may be submitted verbally or in written form as required by the Notified Body. Once the manufacturer has decided to select a single Notified Body to provide the necessary services that manufacturer shall be required to enter into an agreement (e.g. a contract) with that Notified Body. In that agreement the manufacturer declares that they have not entered into a contract with any other Notified Body to provide similar services for the same machine. The selected Notified Body will then request (if not already provided) the remaining information specified within clause 2 of Annex IX (see also 5.1. in this RFU)

- Verification by the body that the machine has been built to in conformity with the applicable essential requirements of the Directive and/or the applicable harmonised standards when the manufacturer has made reference to them.

### **2.2. Documents to be supplied by the manufacturer (and to be verified by the notified body)**

In current practice it is important to point out that the technical file as described in Annex VII of the Directive has not always been completed when the manufacturer requests an EC type-examination. In many cases the technical file is modified during the course of the type examination itself: it is the notified body that requests the additional information and/or the necessary corrections in order to be able to issue a certificate of conformity for the machine.

In the final stage the technical file must contain a set of information that must be properly identified. It must be possible to link the plans, drawings, certificates, etc unequivocally to the machine or family of machines that is the subject of the EC type approval certificate.

- Drawings, stress/stability calculations (limited to critical components)
- Sufficient documents for validation of electric, hydraulic and pneumatic circuits. The documents can be circuit diagrams (including interfaces/connections), functional description of the circuit diagrams, component lists.....
- Manufacturer's declarations and/or certificates<sup>4</sup> related to other Directives applicable to some safety/safety related components (EMC, Low Voltage, Pressure....).
  - ✚ See Section 3.1. hereafter for the acceptability of certificates.
  - ✚ The notified body should draw the attention of the manufacturer to the existence of other Directives which apply to his product.
- Other certificates, test reports (noise, safety components.....). They may be included in the technical file. The acceptability of certificates/test reports is made under the responsibility of the notified body<sup>5</sup> using a ranking of criteria defined as follows
  - ✚ Notification (a report established by a notified/competent body acting in the field of its notification/designation may not be rejected).
  - ✚ Accreditation (pay attention to the scope of accreditation)
  - ✚ Reputation (may be given consideration)
  - ✚ For parameters considered to be less critical, a test report of the manufacturer himself (for example on noise emission) can be taken into account by the notified body (see section 3.3. hereafter)
- Manufacturing procedures (when critical for safety aspects), internal measures for conformity of series production.
- The risk assessment carried out by the manufacturer and the safety measures applied, with indication of the residual risks.
- If all risks identified by the risk assessment of the manufacturer are described in the harmonised standard published in the Official Journal of the European Union the risk analysis may mention this as a result of this risk assessment process
- List of standards applied
- List of essential safety requirements applied (or, at least, list of the essential safety requirements which are not covered by the harmonised standards used by the manufacturer)..
- Instruction manual/safety related instructions (intended use, foreseeable misuse....)
- Declarations of incorporation for included partly completed machinery and the relevant assembly instructions, if appropriate

### 2.3. Language required for the documents of machinery

The files and correspondence referring to the EC type-examination procedures shall be drawn up in an official language of the Member state where the notified body is established or in a language acceptable to it.

The instructions must be drafted in one or more Official Community languages. The words "Original instructions" must appear on the language version(s) verified by the manufacturer or his authorised representative. (Machinery directive, Annex I, 1.7.4.1. (a).<sup>6</sup>

The notified body may require for carrying out an EC type-examination documents, including the technical file that are prepared in a language understood by the notified body. The notified body is ~~not~~ responsible to check one of the "original instructions" -translations of the manual instructions.

<sup>4</sup> As applicable

<sup>5</sup> The notified body decides which are the critical components and which are the acceptable certificates/test reports. A general requirement is that "Conformity assessments shall be carried out in a proportionate manner, avoiding unnecessary burdens for economic operators". (see Article 8 (10) of Regulation 765/2008/EC). It should also be clear the in so doing the notified bodies shall nevertheless respect the degree of rigour and the level of protection required for the compliance of the product with the provisions of the directive

<sup>6</sup> This is the text of CNB/M/00.207/R/E Rev 03 amended to take the new requirement of the directive into account and now replaced by this Recommendation for Use

#### **2.4. Inspections (tests, measurements, visual checks.....as applicable)**

- Correspondence between the actual machine (safety component) and the machine as described in the technical file
- Validate (by analysis and, if necessary, by testing), the safety functions and categories of the safety-related control systems, in normal operation and in the case of faults, taking into account all operating modes of the machine.
- Protective devices, safeguarding method
- Warnings
- Conformity of markings
  - ✚ Marking as requested by Machinery Directive
  - ✚ Indications or marks which are presented in the file as a factor of conformity of components to certain critical requirements of directives or European standards : electrical components (see CNB/M/00.230/R/E), mechanical components (ropes,....), hydraulic components (pipes,....)
  - ✚ Identification of the manufacturer (also for components....)
- Overload test
- Mechanical resistance
- Measurement of critical properties (e.g. dimensions, temperatures, pressure, speed)
- Stopping time between the moment the protective device (emergency stop, light curtain...) is actuated and the moment the machine stops (if necessary)
- Checking of electrical, pneumatic, hydraulic equipment

#### **2.5. Documents to be issued by the notified body**

- Test/inspection report : no standardised presentation has been provided but a full identification of all the components of the report is required in the spirit of the EN ISO 17000 and EN 45000 series. This report describes i.a. the examinations performed by the notified body, the certificates taken into account and the product examined (full identification, photo's, plans.....). The element of the file provided by the manufacturer must be identified univocally. In case of dispute in the future, the report must make it possible to define as completely as possible the machine or the safety component submitted by the manufacturer
- EC type approval certificate.

### **3. SUBCONTRACTING – ACCEPTABILITY OF CERTIFICATES, REPORTS AND DATA SUPPLIED BY THE MANUFACTURER**

For such a wide-ranging Directive as the Machinery Directive, this is one of the most delicate points. It is important to ensure the credibility of the conformity assessment process . There are two important basic rules

- Where a notified body subcontracts specific tasks connected with conformity assessment or has recourse to a subsidiary, it shall ensure that the subcontractor or the subsidiary meets the relevant requirements set out in Annex XI of the directive and shall inform the notifying authority accordingly
- Notified bodies shall take full responsibility for the tasks performed by subcontractors or subsidiaries wherever these are established

#### **3.1. Electro-technical components subject to the low voltage and EMC Directives.**

The conditions for subcontracting do not apply if the work concerns a product that is shown to fulfil the requirements put on it according to the applicable Directive(s). An example of such a product is an electro-technical component that is within the scope of the EMC and the Low Voltage Directives. The conformity assessment procedures foreseen for the component by the relevant Directives have to be accepted by a notified body in charge of the evaluation of a final product containing this component. This is true provided the administrative duties foreseen in the Directive for the manufacturer of the component are fulfilled (CE marking, declaration of conformity, instruction handbook etc...)

It is mandatory to follow the conformity assessment procedures set out in these two Directives. There is therefore a trend towards acceptance of the manufacturers data. For components with a significant bearing on the safety of the machinery, the body will also obtain a declaration from the manufacturer or a voluntary conformity mark.

The guide concerning the Low Voltage Directive states that the notified body in the field of machinery will take into account the results of the conformity assessment procedures of the "Low Voltage" Directive which apply for the intrinsic electrical safety aspects of the electrical component of the machinery (conformity with point 1.5.1. of Annex I of the Machinery Directive).<sup>7</sup> It is also stated that direct examination by the notified body will apply, i.a. to all risks arising from the way in which the electrical components are incorporated into a machinery and ensure their proper functioning.

The notified body remains fully responsible for the appropriateness of components and certificates. If the manufacturer defectively assembles components for which the required characteristics have not been documented/certified as far as the safe operation of the machinery is concerned, this gives rise to a fundamentally unacceptable situation whether or not the components carry the CE marking.

In terms of practice, two basic questions have been answered by the European Coordination of Notified Bodies. Both of the answers have been accepted by the Machinery Committee.

### **3.2. Components and safety components manufactured by specialised firms and included by the machinery manufacturer in his product.**

Certain manufacturers are specialised in the manufacture of components and safety components of machinery. Such components are found in several types of different machinery produced by manufacturers throughout the world. Consequently, the machines will be submitted to various notified bodies. Although such components may have a significant bearing on the safety of machinery, it would seem exaggerated to carry out all of the tests required to demonstrate the reliability of the component all over again. Despite the fact that it is aimed specifically at presses, Recommendation for Use CNB/M/03.013/R/E gives some guidelines which can be applied to all types of machinery. Notified bodies may take into account certificates drawn up by other notified bodies for the same machines and/or by a laboratory/body which is accredited in a specific domain.

### **3.3. Parameters considered to be "less critical"**

For parameters considered to be "less critical", the task of notified bodies is essentially to verify the credibility of the data provided by the manufacturer

EC type-examination for all machines entering into the field of application of Annex IV must include verification of all the essential requirements stated in Annex I and applicable to the machine. This includes the requirements which are recognised as not constituting the basis of this examination :

- either by checking that the requirements directly applied by the manufacturer are adhered to
- or by checking that the harmonised standards have been used correctly, as regards the essential requirements covered by the standards, when the manufacturer has made reference to them

Taking noise as an example, the essential requirement aimed at in point f of section 1.7.4 of Annex I : the notified body must, in general, abide by the declaration of the manufacturer as stated in the instruction manual and should not:

- carry out the measurement again
- or require a certificate by a third party if the measurements and the equipment used comply with the relevant standards

At the meeting of 4 July 1993, the 89/392 Committee (currently 2006/42 Committee) stated that the role of the notified body should be limited to

- verifying that all measures have indeed been taken to ensure that noise has been reduced to the lowest possible level by isolating the transmission components for instance (Essential health and safety requirement 1.5.8.)
- verifying that the manufacturer has indeed indicated in the instruction manual both the noise level and the methods used to reach the result shown

---

<sup>7</sup> European Commission - Guidelines on the application of Council Directive 2006/95/EC Electrical equipment designed for use within certain voltage limits) – Comment 30 – August 2007

asking for explanations from the manufacturer where the emission level is badly indicated or where the stated emission level is clearly at odds with reality. In this case, the notified body should carry out further measurements and, afterwards, refuse the EC type-examination if the lack of compliance is confirmed. Systematic verification of the emission level is, however, not envisaged.

#### **4. EC TYPE-EXAMINATION CERTIFICATE**

As far as EC type-examination certificates are concerned, two issues have been dealt with by the European co-ordination of notified bodies

- A. Is it possible to put different variants of a machine on the same certificate ?
- B. Is it possible to issue EC type-examination certificates for the same product to different applicants ?

The answers are as follows

##### 4.1.1 Procedure to be applied to the EC type-examination of variants of a machine or a safety component - Criteria to be taken into account for the certificate

The normal procedure is to put a family in one certificate. However, the notified body must verify if the range of products of the manufacturer presents a similar series of risks and/or technical solutions. If not, we are dealing with separate types which are covered by separate certificates. A machine or a safety component is considered as a variant of a referenced machine or safety component only if it differs on points which have no noticeable influence on the expected performances. The variants can correspond to differences relating in particular to dimensions, shape, nature of constituents materials, colour, assembly methods, manufacturing processes etc.

It is the responsibility of the Notified Body to evaluate for each individual case, if a given machine or safety component can effectively be considered as a variant. In case of doubt, it will carry out any check, measurement or test considered to be useful.

In every case and for each of the variants, the applicant will provide the Notified Body with a detailed description indicating the differences in comparison with the reference model and the number of samples of these variants required for complementary checks and tests.

##### 4.1.2. Is it possible to issue EC type-examination certificates for the same product to different applicants ?

It is possible to issue other EC type-examination certificates for the same product which has an existing EC type-examination certificate provided the following rules are observed:

- The request shall be made to the notified body which issued the original EC type-examination certificate giving all relevant information to ensure the product is the same. The new applicant must obtain official authorisation from the owner of the original certificate, a copy of which must accompany the request.
- The new applicant shall be considered as a manufacturer and shall conform with the requirements of Annex IX, in particular point 6 (duty to inform the notified body about any modification made or planned on the type of machinery approved).
- To eliminate ambiguities between the original certificate and the new one, the references of the product must not be the same, the information for use and trade documents must accordingly be changed. The notified body has the responsibility to verify the new documents and to confirm the product is the same as the one originally approved.
- The new EC type-examination certificate should be issued by the same notified body as the original certificate ensuring full traceability of each document.

In this matter, the legislation on intellectual property and the patent and trade mark laws have to be observed.

## 5. ORGANISATIONAL PROCEDURES

Four subjects have been broached in this context :

- How to ensure that the manufacturer does not attempt to resubmit a file that has already been rejected elsewhere
- How to harmonise the practical interpretation of the Directive when the product does not comply with an harmonised standard
- What to do when it is discovered that the application of a standard poses a problem
- How long should one retain files that relate to an EC type-examination.

### 5.1. How can it be assured that the manufacturer has not presented the same file to two or even several notified bodies? How can it be assured that the manufacturer does not re-submit a file having been the subject of a previous EC type-examination certificate refusal decision?

This question is in relation with the paragraph 2 from Annex IX of the Directive . The answer not applicable for the quotation process (see 2.1. of this RFU).

The manufacturer will be asked to confirm (an example of a confirmation form is attached) that he has not submitted the same file to another notified body and that the model presented for examination or a very similar one has not been the subject of any previous EC-type certificate refusal decision.

For the future, an information system is considered to be useful. The Commission should be asked by the Horizontal Committee whether the Directive provides a legal basis for establishment of such a system.

The aim of the confirmation Form is to make the manufacture aware of his(her) responsibilities.

" A body which refuse to issue an EC type-examination certificate shall so inform the other notified bodies. ..." The problem is that this information must be given very quickly to all other competent notified bodies (for example by FAX). If this is so, all notified bodies know what are the rejected machines. But this supposes that the list of European notified bodies is always up to date and sent in time to all notified bodies.

Confirmation form (example)

In the name of .....  
(name of the company)

the undersigned.....certifies  
(name of the undersigned)

- That the following Machinery or Safety Component for Machinery:

.....  
(type of the Machinery or Safety Component according to Annex IV of MD 98/37/EC (previously 89/392/EEC amended)

.....  
(identification of the product including designation of series or type, serial number and year of construction) whose manufacturing technical file is enclosed herewith, with the view of being granted an EC type-examination certificate, has not been subject of a previous EC type-examination certificate refusal decision

- That no request of a similar nature concerning the same model has been submitted to any other Notified Body for the granting of EC type-examination certificates.

Done at.....Date.....

(signature)

(position of the undersigned)

(seal)

Note : "A manufacturer cannot set notified bodies in competition with each other on technical questions by requesting an EC type-examination certificate from several notified bodies in the hope that at least one of them will issue such a certificate. However, this does not prohibit competition on the grounds of cost. A manufacturer located in one Member State may select a body notified by another Member State"<sup>8</sup>

## **5.2. How to harmonise the practical interpretation of the Directive when the product does not comply with an harmonised standard**

If everyone interprets the Directive in his own way, it would be nothing short of miraculous if all of the solutions found were inter-compatible. In the event of flagrant divergences, there is always a risk that the safeguard clause would raise its head, which is not the desired objective.

The harmonised standards and the data sheets of the European co-ordination of notified bodies make it possible gradually to set a level acceptable to all parties involved (public authorities, manufacturers, etc.). Providing an operational summary of this "technical jurisprudence" applicable within the framework of the EC type-examination is one of the tasks of notified bodies.

One of the first questions raised during the meeting of the notified bodies was related to this topic. The question was "Are there any methods or procedures available for testing the achievement of adequate safety if the product is not in accordance with the harmonised standard? What and how can it be done? The notified body cannot always wait for the next meeting of the vertical group or horizontal committee to discuss the problem"<sup>9</sup>.

The answer is based on common sense and personal contacts. We have no official regulation for the time being other than ESR's, but we can rely on :

- experience of some notified body ("ringing round")
- completing a technical sheet "proposal for enquiry"
- informative report and discussion in the vertical group
- compliance with national specifications/standards.

## **5.3. What action should be taken if deficiencies and/or mistakes in standards are detected ?**

Question concerning possible deficiencies and/or mistakes in standards shall be brought to the attention of relevant CEN/CENELEC Technical Committees for possible solution.

Before decision is taken, the Vertical Group shall discuss the matter in order to reach a common agreement on how to proceed with the testing.

However, if the questions require an urgent solution the notified body who detected the possible deficiency(ies) or mistake(s) can start within the VG members a quick enquiry (by fax) in order to collect answers within a reasonable period of time (10 days).

If the question(s) are deemed to be of general interest, the Horizontal Committee shall also be informed.

The Member States are automatically informed through the minutes of the meeting of the Horizontal Committee.

## **5.4. For how long must the EC type-examination files be stored by the notified body?**

Directive 98/37/EC did not give explicit limitation to the notified bodies concerning the retention of the EC type-examination files.

In order to ensure some degree of coherence with respect to Annex V paragraph 4 b of directive 98/37/EC, the notified bodies were advised to keep the file for fifteen years after the last intervention of the notified body.

The 2006/42/EC directive now states that the manufacturer and the notified body shall retain a copy of the certificate, of the technical file and of all the relevant documents for a period of 15 years from the date of the issue of the certificate (Annex IX, 9.3. third paragraph)

---

<sup>8</sup> J-P Van Gheluwe - Community legislation on machinery - Comments on Directive 98/37/EC - Section 822 - 1999 Edition

<sup>9</sup> This is the text of CNB/M/00.204/R/E Rev 01 now replaced by this Recommendation for Use

	<b>CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES</b> <b>Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>	CNB/M/00.251 Revision 06  Language: E
Date of first stage: 09/11/2010	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: Horizontal Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee .....	28/06/2012
	To be endorsed by:	Endorsed on:
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Working Group....	17/01/2013
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Article: 12.3 b), 12.4 a)	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: IX	Clause:	Other clause:
	CEN TC concerned:	
Key words: EC type-examination of a modified Machinery		
Question:		
<p>How must a Notified Body (NB2) deal with an application of an assessment of conformity (EC type-examination) for a modified machinery while the base machinery was assessed by a Notified Body (NB1) who is different from NB2 and who delivered an EC type-examination certificate to the base machinery?</p>		
Solution:		
<p>The manufacturer has to address the NB1 when he makes changes to a machine (see Machinery Directive); NB1 will assess what impact the intended modifications may have on the validity of the EC type-examination certificate he issued. If NB1 reaches the conclusion that machinery, when subject to the envisaged modifications, will no longer be covered by the original EC type-examination certificate, he will inform the manufacturer about his conclusion.</p>		
<p>If the manufacturer decides to go ahead and implement the envisaged changes, he must change the type and he has to make a new application in order to assess conformity with essential health and safety requirements of the Machinery directive. Such application may in this case be made to other NB2 that the manufacturer chooses. NB2 is responsible for the whole new type and it's up to the NB2 to accept technical files, certificates (e.g. for type approved Annex IV safety components) and /or test reports.</p>		

## (1) Essential safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.





**CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES  
Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + Amendment  
RECOMMENDATION FOR USE**

CNB/M/00.254  
Revision 04  
Language: E

Date of first stage: 29.8.2013		To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: Horizontal Committee		<input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee.....  To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Working Group....	18/06/2014  Endorsed on: 08/01/2015
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC	Article:	EN/prEN:	Other:
Annex: IX 9.3	ESR (1):	Clause:	Other clause:

CEN TC concerned:

Key words: EC type-examination certificate, validity, renewal by original NB

§400 of the Guide to the MD states in matters of section 9.3 of annex IX:

“When reviewing an EC type-examination certificate, the Notified Body shall examine the technical file for the machinery in the light of any significant evolution of the state of the art over the elapsed five-year period.”

Question:

What are the minimum information and types of documents the NB has to request from the client when it wants to review the validity of the EC type-examination certificate?

Answer:

A manufacturer who considers his machine not to be modified and who wants to renew his EC type-examination certificate shall be requested to send to the notified body a written request which shall be accompanied, at least, by the following information and documents:

- Confirmation of the name and location of the current manufacturer,
- Confirmation that there were no modifications made to the machine with respect to the former type-examination, including all versions, components and optional assets,
- Pictures and drawings of the current machine,
- Confirmation that the manufacturer has received no complaints related to the safety of the machine during the last five years.

The manufacturer is free to send any additional documents supporting his request for renewal. The NB is in the responsibility to request further documents of its own choice.

All documents shall be examined in relation to the requirements of the current version of the Machinery Directive.

If the NB is convinced that the machine has not been significantly modified and still complies with all requirements of the Machinery Directive, it will renew the EC type-examination certificate according section 4 of Annex IX. In any case it is at the liberty of the NB to not rely on the documents but to carry out verifications on a sample of the machinery.



	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.256 Revision: 06 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1 Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 25.08.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 17.10.2024
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Annex: IV - all	Article: - EHSR (1): -	EN/prEN: EN ISO/IEC 17025:2018 Normative clause: - CEN TC concerned: -	Other: - Other clause: -
Key words: EC type-examination, external test facilities, laboratory, manufacturer			
<p>Question:</p> <p>Q1) Is the Notified Body allowed to use external test facilities (e.g. provided by manufacturer) for EC type-examination procedure according to Machinery Directive Annex IX?</p> <p>Q2) Is the Notified Body allowed to accept test results from other laboratory (without supervising / witnessing) provided by laboratories mandated by manufacturer for EC type-examination procedure according to Machinery Directive Annex IX?</p> <p><i>Note 1: Subcontracting ('Blue Guide' (2016/C 272/01), d. 5.2.5) is not considered to be covered by this RfU.</i></p>			
<p>Solution:</p> <p>To Q1:          External test facilities (e.g. manufacturers' test facilities) are only to be accepted where the testing is supervised / witnessed by the notified body staff. The content of the test report has to be in alignment with clause 7.8 of EN ISO/IEC 17025 including details of the involvement of the notified body.</p> <p>To Q2:          Yes, the following options can be accepted:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Laboratory accredited by a signatory to the ILAC accreditation system for the scope of testing:              In this case the test results from this test laboratory can be accepted.</li> <li>Independent laboratory without recognised accreditation:              In this case the NB has to assess the test facility by an initial and by surveillance audits for the scope of testing to confirm, whether it follows the requirements of EN ISO/IEC 17025.  <i>Note: In some circumstances, there could be no other solution as to take non accredited laboratories. For example, very specific test is provided only by few laboratories which are not accredited. Or there are no available accredited laboratories, or to choose the accredited laboratory could conducted to abnormal additional cost (sending the samples to far locate countries).</i></li> </ol> <p><i>Note 2: This RfU is not applicable to Safety-Components covered by VG11 – this topic is covered by CNB/M/11.063.</i></p>			
<p>History</p> <p>Version 05 was amended at the 59th CNB-MA HC meeting in June 2025 by introducing the correct reference to CNB/M/11.063 instead of CNB/M/11.067. This amendment does not require new endorsement which was obtained for version 05 on 17.10.2024.</p>			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the "Blue Guide" on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.



Where the mass of a component to be handled is not obvious, (a strengthened, heat insulating guard for example), an indication regarding its sturdiness must be affixed to the guard itself.

The notified body should ensure that the instruction handbook gives all the details pertinent to the handling of these components.

The mass of components exceeding 25 Kg must be mentioned in the instruction handbook.

MASS (m) (kg)	MAXIMUM DISTANCE BETWEEN LIFTING AND LAYING (m)	
	HORIZONTAL DIRECTION	VERTICAL DIRECTION
0<m<=	1,2	1
10<m<=	1	0,8
15<m<=	0,8	0,6



The manufacturer and any notified body which may be involved in the conformity assessment process must ensure that these rather particular aspects are properly dealt with. We should bear in mind that effects of interference on the machine are covered specifically by the EMC directive and not the machinery directive. The following are possible approaches:

- reports drawn up by competent EMC bodies;
- declarations of conformity to the EMC directive for components, apparatus, systems forming part of the machine;
- analysis of the electrical circuit to determine whether the electromagnetic interference is likely to create a dangerous situation. The designer may have decided to guarantee immunity by using electromechanical devices which are not vulnerable to interference. In this case of complex control circuits, the manufacturer must make a risk analysis to evaluate the effect of faults. This analysis is to be included in the technical file.

It is often impossible to verify by testing whether a large machine is immune. In this case, the immunity of the electronic control systems and safety components is to be checked.

(1) = International Radiation Protection Association  
PO Box 662 - 5600 Ar - Eindhoven - Netherlands

(2) = National Radiological Protection Board  
Chilton - Didcot - Oxon - United Kingdom



	<b>CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES</b> <b>Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + amendments</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.505 Revision: 02 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1 Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 03.07.2023	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... - <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... 14.06.2022 To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group ... 23.03.2023	Approved on: 14.06.2022 Endorsed on: 23.03.2023
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC and ON Directive 2000/14/EC Annex: -	Article: 1.7.4 EHSR (1): -	EN/prEN: - Normative clause: - CEN TC concerned: -	Other: - Other clause: -
Key words: airborne noise declaration, instruction manual			
Question: To which extent should notified bodies verify the instruction manuals relative to the information provided on airborne noise emission?			
Recommended solution: NB should use the attached checklist together with the given example to verify the instruction manuals for appropriate declaration of airborne noise emissions.			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES</b> <b>Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + amendments</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.506 Revision: 04 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1 Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 03.07.2023	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group ...	Approved on: - 16.12.2021 Endorsed on: 23.03.2023
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC  Annex: IX	Article: -  EHSR (1): A.1.(a) 8 <sup>th</sup> and 9 <sup>th</sup> indent	EN/prEN: -  Normative clause: - CEN TC concerned: -	Other: -  Other clause: -
Key words: Documents to be required for the assessment of the technical file in an EC type-examination procedure			
<p>Preamble:</p> <p>Case 1</p> <p>A machinery (belonging to Annex IV) incorporates a partly completed machinery, supplied by another manufacturer, accompanied by the following documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Declaration of incorporation, issued according to clause 1.B of Annex II</li> <li>- Assembly instructions, issued according to Annex VI</li> </ul> <p>Case 2</p> <p>A machinery (belonging to Annex IV) incorporates a number of safety components (e.g. two-hand control, emergency stop, etc.) for which the manufacturer has supplied an EC declaration of conformity based on the conformity evaluation procedure of clause 3.a) of article 12. For such safety components, the following documents are available:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- EC Declaration of conformity, issued according to clause 1.A of Annex II</li> <li>- Instructions, issued according to clause 1.7.4 of Annex I</li> </ul> <p>Question:</p> <p>With reference to the above-mentioned cases, during the process of EC type-examination (Annex IX) has the notified body to require further documentation (e.g. detailed drawings, calculation notes, test reports, etc.) in addition to the previously listed documents?</p>			
<p>Solution:</p> <p>Usually no, as stated by Annex VII clauses A.1.(a) 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> indent (see Note 1) Such a request represents an access to information contained in the technical file of safety components (case 2) or in the relevant technical documentation of the partly completed machinery (case 1), but access to this information is allowed only to competent national authorities on the basis of a duly reasoned request.</p> <p>However, if the Notified Body has concerns that the performance of the PCM or safety component may compromise the safety and conformity of the final machine, they shall request the manufacturer of the final machine to provide enough information to address those concerns. The manufacturer may need to refer back to the manufacturer of the PCM or safety component to provide adequate information.</p> <p>Note 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- where appropriate, the declaration of incorporation for included partly completed machinery and the relevant assembly instructions for such machinery,</li> <li>- where appropriate, copies of the EC declaration of conformity of machinery or other products incorporated into the machinery.</li> </ul>			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<p><b>CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES</b>  <b>Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + amendments</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b></p>		<p>CNB/M/00.510                  Revision: 02                  Language: EN</p>
<p>Number of pages: 1</p>	<p>Date: 31.07.2023</p>	<p>To be approved by:</p>	<p>Approved on:</p>
<p>Origin: Remote assessment working group appointed by 54th Machinery Horizontal Committee meeting</p>		<p><input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group .....  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee .....                  To be endorsed by:  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....</p>	<p>-                  31.05.2023                  Endorsed on:                  12.04.2024</p>
<p>Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC                   Annex: IX Clause 3</p>	<p>Article: -                   EHSR (1): -</p>	<p>EN/prEN: -                   Normative clause: general                  CEN TC concerned: -</p>	<p>Other: See reference documents mentioned in main text below.                   Other clause: -</p>
<p>Key words: Remote assessment activities</p>			
<p>Question:                   How should a Notified Body decide whether a remote conformity assessment activity is possible and how should it be carried out?</p>			
<p>Solution:                   See pages 2-5 of this document.</p>			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement  
 Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

VG11 RFU CNB/M/11.067 concerns remote assessment for safety components and takes precedence over this document for those items only.

A remote assessment activity can be defined as the facilitation of a conformity assessment activity from a location other than being physically present at the assessment site. IAF ID 12:2015 Principles on Remote Assessment An assessment which combines remote and on-site assessment activities is referred to as a “Blended” or “Hybrid” assessment.

Remote assessment activities provide the opportunity for increased efficiency, increased safety, better timing, inclusion of NB personnel that may not be easily accessible, and avoidance of travel delays and restrictions. IAF ID 12:2015 Principles on Remote Assessment

The use of remote conformity assessment activities is an option that can be used in certain cases or as a supplement to established procedures to replace some on-site conformity assessment activities by a Notified Body. It is important to assess the feasibility of individual “remote conformity assessment activities” rather than a complete “remote conformity assessment”.

Conditions for appointment of Machinery Notified Bodies do not exclude the possibility of remote conformity assessment activities, provided they are carried out under Notified Body control. ISO 17065:2012 does not exclude the possibility of remote conformity assessment activities.

Remote conformity assessment activities can be considered a normal option as part of an overall conformity assessment.

Remote conformity assessment activities must always provide an equivalent level of rigour and reliability to in-person on site assessment activities.

A Notified Body should in all cases make the choice of whether a remote conformity assessment activity is appropriate or not and should retain full responsibility for, and control of, the activity.

The decision to remotely conduct conformity assessment activities which traditionally have been conducted on site, should follow a risk-based approach to decide which activities can use remote assessment without compromising the rigour and reliability of the conformity assessment activity. Opportunities to mitigate or reduce any risks identified using additional measures should be considered and should be documented in the risk assessment.

The risk assessment which indicates that it is acceptable to use a remote conformity assessment activity as part of an overall conformity assessment should be included in the EC Type Examination documentation and should be available to the customer, to the conformity assessment body’s own employees, accreditation bodies, and the regulatory authorities. Annex A contains a recommended approach to remote conformity risk assessment.

The scope of a proposed remote conformity assessment activity should be reviewed during the planning phase and confirmed as practical and achievable, and adapted if necessary.

The conformity assessment body should provide a clear description of their remote assessment activity process, in their own QMS including the preliminary requirements, the different steps of the process, a guidance on the techniques to be used and the methodology adopted.

Additional training and qualifications may need to be carried out, covering the communication and information technology used in order to fully qualify the persons engaged in remote activities. Records of the persons qualified to supervise and carry out remote activities of different types should be maintained and updated by the Notified Body as described in their QMS.

An assessment plan should be developed in liaison with the manufacturer before any work is carried out and should include at a minimum:

- A detailed description of which activities will be carried out remotely, how the activity will be carried out and by whom, with an estimate of time required and proposed date and time that the assessment will be carried out.
- A detailed description of what communication facilities and other facilities such as calibrated measurement instruments, test weights, test materials must be provided
- An indication of what staff resources the manufacture must provide.
- The test/examination report produced as the result of a remote assessment activity should consider the requirements of ISO 17025 clause 7.8.2 and also include as a minimum:-
  - The risk assessment used to justify use of remote assessment.
  - Live-streamed and recorded video and audio, and test and activity reports as appropriate which provide evidence of an adequate test or examination.

Recordings of live streams, off-line videos and photographs provided by the manufacturer and any other evidence used as part of the remote assessment forming part of the final test report must be retained for at least 15 years after the date of issue of a certificate.<sup>2006/42/EC Annex IX 9.3</sup>

This document was compiled with reference to the following publications

- IAF ID 12:2015 Principles on Remote Assessment
- EA-2/21 G: 2022 Guidance on remote assessments
- CNB\_M\_0\_2022\_037V01 EA-2\_21-Guidance-on-Remote-Assessments-rev00\_18 Oct 2022
- TIC Council Guidance document on remote activities of conformity assessment
- IECEx OD 024 – Ed4.0 Operational Document

## Annex A Recommended risk assessment method

The risk for each assessment activity should be considered separately, but different activities may be grouped together, for example, in a single test plan which covers several different activities.

The table below can be regarded as a "sliding scale". The NB should assess and document where the activity they are assessing lies within the range of possible risk scores.

The individual risk scores for the four risk factors defined by the project should be added together. The Notified Body can then select an assessment method and person to carry it out to give a combined score with all factors added together which is then assessed against the following

Combined score 6 – 14 Remote risk assessment possible

Combined score 15 – 22 Remote risk assessment possible if other methods are not practicable with extra mitigations where possible

Combined score 23 – 30 Remote risk assessment likely to be unreliable and not recommended

Deviations from C type standard	Score	Manufacturer experience See Note 2	Score	Complexity of equipment, test and examination required.	Score	Extent of assessment	Score	Proposed Method/Evidence	Score	Impartiality and experience of on site staff. See Note 1	Score
<b>Lower risk</b>											
<b>Defined by project</b>						<b>Defined by Notified Body</b>					
Equipment aims for complete conformity with standard	1	Previous EC Type Examinations of this type of equipment with current examining NB	1	Small and simple	1	Minor further test or repeat test	1	Live streaming under continuous NB examiner supervision	1	Experienced NB staff but not authorised to examine this type of equipment	1
	2	Previous EC Type Examinations of this with a different examining NB or for different equipment	2		2		2		2	Experienced technical 3rd party	2
Deviations from standard	3		3	Medium size and complexity	3	Limited testing or examination	3	Continuous off-line Video + test/examination report only	3	Inexperienced technical 3 <sup>rd</sup> party	3
	4	Experienced manufacturer but with no previous experience of EC Type Examination	4		4		4		4		4
Innovative equipment. Standard not very relevant	5	Inexperienced manufacturer with no previous experience of EC Type Examination	5	Large and complex	5	Complete new assessment against EHSRs or C type standard	5	Video highlights or Photo + test/examination report only	5	Manufacturer's own staff	5
<b>Higher risk</b>											

Note 1. "Experienced" here means persons who have been specifically trained to carry out this type of examination and/or have carried out similar examinations before.

Note 2. "Experienced manufacturer" here means a manufacturer who has successfully made similar equipment before.

## **Annex B Some factors to be considered in remote assessment**

Some hazards can be difficult to assess remotely, for example:

- Risk of cutting from sharp edges
- Risk of slips trips and falls during access to parts of equipment

The NB must consider how these can be adequately assessed.

The audio/video software or app used to perform the remote activity and means of connectivity is to be checked and accepted by the NB, if the NB is not providing them, in terms of:

- Quality of images and audio available to the examiner,
- Interoperability and compatibility of the technology, including formats,
- Consideration and fulfillment of cybersecurity requirements,
- Protection and confidentiality of the data transmitted, e.g., through encryption.

Where live-streaming is used for a remote assessment activity, proper preparation and planning of the remote assessment activity is to be done in advance of the activity and should include a pre-meeting and test to ensure that:

- The audio/video software or app used provides evidence of adequate quality.
- Internet connection is satisfactory in respect to the audio/video software or app used and proper communication capabilities are provided.
- Battery powered devices used have adequate duration and storage or suitable replacement batteries or charging facilities and storage are available.
- The on-site personnel operating the smart device and carrying out remote activities are properly skilled in using the technology.
- Adequate translation facilities are provided to ensure that efficient communication is maintained.

The possibility for the conformity assessment activities body to retain evidence, videos, photos and audio recordings relevant to the object of the remote activity is to be considered an opportunity to avoid future potential risks for the NB to be involved in complaints, disputes, proceedings or potential accreditation suspensions/withdrawals.

	<b>CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES</b> <b>Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC + amendments</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.511 Revision: 02 Language: EN
Number of pages: 2 Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 20.11.2024	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 18.12.2023 Endorsed on: 17.10.2024
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Annex: IX EC Type-Examination	Article: 14 EHSR (1): -	EN/prEN: - Normative clause: general CEN TC concerned: -	Other: - Other clause: -
Key words: Refusal to issue certificate, Withdrawal of a certificate, suspension of a certificate, Restriction of a certificate.			
<p>Question:</p> <p>Directive 2006/42/EC in Article 14 clause 6 states  <i>"6. If a notified body finds that relevant requirements of this Directive have not been met or are no longer met by the manufacturer or that an EC type-examination certificate or the approval of a quality assurance system should not have been issued, it shall, taking account of the principle of proportionality, suspend or withdraw the certificate or the approval issued or place restrictions on it, giving detailed reasons, unless compliance with such requirements is ensured by the implementation of appropriate corrective measures by the manufacturer.</i></p> <p><i>In the event of suspension or withdrawal of the certificate or the approval or of any restriction placed on it, or in cases where intervention by the competent authority may prove necessary, the notified body shall inform the competent authority pursuant to Article 4. The Member State shall inform the other Member States and the Commission without delay. An appeal procedure shall be available."</i></p> <p>Note there is no requirement to notify other NBs in these cases!</p> <p>And Annex IX "EC type-examination" clause 5 states:  <i>"If the type does not satisfy the provisions of the Directive, the notified body shall refuse to issue the applicant with an EC type-examination certificate, giving detailed reasons for its refusal. It shall inform the applicant, the other notified bodies and the Member State which notified it. An appeal procedure must be available."</i></p> <p>Under what circumstances shall a Notified Body issue a refusal to issue a certificate and how shall the refusal and also certificate suspensions, withdrawals and restrictions be advised to other parties?</p>			
<p>Solution:</p> <p>Once an application for certification is made and accepted by a Notified Body, a refusal to certificate shall be issued if an initial EC type-examination or an Annex X quality audit reports non-conformities and the manufacturer does not satisfactorily correct them within the time period agreed between the Notified Body and the manufacturer. There is no limit on the time period agreed and it may be extended at the manufacturer's request with the agreement of the Notified Body.</p> <p>It is not necessary to issue a refusal to certificate if an application for certification does not proceed to certification for non-safety related reasons such as commercial problems or because the applicant no longer wishes to proceed with certification and withdraws their application.</p> <p>A refusal to issue an EC Type examination certificate or a withdrawal, suspension or restriction of a certificate or quality system approval must be advised to an agreed contact in the member state which appointed the Notified Body.</p> <p>Refusals to issue a certificate only shall also be advised to the Machinery Notified Body group Technical Secretariat who will post it in the</p>			

appropriate area of the Machinery Notified Bodies Group on CIRCABC. All members of the Notified Bodies group will be automatically notified of all new items posted on the group.

A notice of refusal to issue a certificate for an EC Type examination shall be sent to the Machinery Notified Bodies Technical Secretariat and should be in the following format

Date of Refusal (yyyy.mm.dd format to sort correctly by date)

Notified Body name and number

Manufacturer name and address

Equipment description and model name and/or number

Annex IV category of equipment refused

Brief description of reason for refusal. (Information provided must not include client confidential information.)

This RfU answers the problem of how to effectively advise other Notified Bodies raised in RfU 00.250 clause 5.1.

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 6.6 of the Guide of the implementation of directives based on the New Approach and the Global Approach, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.517 Revision: 02 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1 Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 07.11.2025
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Annex: IX	Article: - EHSR (1): -	EN/prEN: - Normative clause: - CEN TC concerned: -	Other: Other clause: -
Key words: Validity of EC Type-examination certificates in relation to Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230 Article 52 – point 2 of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230			
Question:  <b>A) What are the NB obligations after 20 January 2027 regarding EC type-examination certificates issued according to Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC [MD] and still valid according to Article 52 of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230 [MR]?</b>  <b>B) What are the conditions for EC type-examination certificates issued based on MD to remain valid after 19 January 2027 according to Article 52 – point 2 of MR?</b>			
Solution:  <b>A) According to Article 51 of MR, MD will be repealed from 20 January 2027, therefore the NBs will no longer be <b>able</b> to operate as NB under MD.</b> Before 20 January 2027 the NB has to inform the customer about the new/modified requirements.  <b>B) As a consequence, the responsibility of the use of the certificate will be in charge of the manufacturer.</b>  EC type-examination certificates issued based on MD remain valid after 19 January 2027 and usable by the manufacturer only if: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the machinery complies with the modified and new EHSRs of annex III of MR from annex I of MD; <u>and</u></li> <li>- no modifications are made to the approved type that require a new or revision of the certificate; <u>and</u></li> <li>- the machinery continues to fulfil the applicable EHSRs of annex III of MR in the light of the state of the art.</li> </ul> The manufacturer should conduct an analysis (“GAP-Analysis”) and if the result of the GAP-Analysis is not positive, the manufacturer will need a new certification under MR.  Possible results of the GAP-Analysis: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) If the certified Machinery / Product is <b>NOT impacted</b> by new or changed EHSRs: the manufacturer can still use the EC type-examination certificate according to MD until it expires under its responsibility.</li> <li>2) If the certified Machinery / Product is <b>impacted</b> by new or changed EHSRs (documentation by which of them recommended) and the Machinery / Product <b>does not comply</b> with them, the manufacturer will need a new EU type-evaluation according to MR.</li> </ol>			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the “Blue Guide” on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

**TECHNICAL SHEETS OF THE  
EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY**

**HORIZONTAL RECOMMENDATION FOR USE SHEETS (RfUs)  
related to Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  
Status in February 2026**

<b>Number CNB/M/ (1)</b>	<b>Revision (Rev)</b>	<b>Key words</b>	<b>Approved by Horizontal Committee of NBs<sup>(2)</sup> on:</b>	<b>Approved by Vertical Group of NBs<sup>(2)</sup> on:</b>	<b>Endorsed by Machinery Expert Group/MWG on:</b>
00.001	43	Key addresses	04/02/2026	-	-
00.220	05	Guards	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025
00.230	06	Low voltage, tests, report, declaration, electrical components	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025
00.513	02	Annex: I part A item 5 Item 6 - Categories of Machinery or related products covered by Annex I, Part A6	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025
00.514	02	Annex: I part A item 5 Item 6; Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 (AI-Act); Notification procedure for NB-M	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025
00.518	02	Validity of EU type-examination certificates in relation to Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230	05/06/2025	-	07/11/2025

(1): CNB/M/xx.xxx RERev yy = Coordination of Notified Bodies/Machinery/Numbering of the RfUs  
R: Recommendation for Use E: English version Rev: Revision yy: index of the Revision

(2): NBs = Notified Bodies

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.001 Revision: 43 Language: EN
Number of pages: 4	Date: 04.02.2026	To be approved by:	Approved on:
Origin: Technical Secretariat		<input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	- 10.12.2025 Endorsed on: Not required
Question related to: -	Article: -	EN/prEN: -	Other: -
Annex: -	EHSR (1): -	Normative clause: -	Other clause: -
CEN TC concerned: -			
Key words: Key addresses			
Question:  What are the key addresses of the European Co-ordination of the notified bodies for Machinery Directive?			
Solution:  The key addresses of the coordination are given in the following pages.			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the “Blue Guide” on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

**EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION FOR MACHINERY AND SAFETY COMPONENTS  
CHAIRMAN, VICE-CHAIRMAN, SECRETARIATS AND CONVENORS OF THE CO-ORDINATION GROUP FOR NOTIFIED BODIES**

<b>H.C or V.G. N°</b>	<b>Title of the group</b>	<b>Convenor</b>	<b>Secretary</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Address</b>
0	Horizontal Committee	<b>Mr Giuseppe PERSANO ADORNO (Chairman)</b>  <b>Mr Philip PIERLOZ (Vice-Chairman)</b>		NB 0407 Istituto Giordano S.p.A. - Headquarters	Via G. Rossini, 2 47814 Bellaria-Igea Marina (RN) - Italy Phone: +39 0541 322.232 Mobile: +39 335 5774778 E-mail: <a href="mailto:g.persano@giordano.it">g.persano@giordano.it</a>
				NB 0026 VINÇOTTE sa/nv	Jan Olieslagerslaan 35 B-1800 Vilvoorde, Belgium Phone: +32 478 80 05 37 E-mail: <a href="mailto:ppierloz@vincotte.be">ppierloz@vincotte.be</a>
			Ms. Sara BALZANO Technical Secretariat	ABERTECH	Corso Verona 45/A I-38068 Rovereto, Italy <a href="http://www.abertech.it">www.abertech.it</a> Phone: +39 0464 486 333
		Administrative Secretariat	Downtown Europe	Av. AJ Slegers, 397/2 - 1200 Brussels, Belgium <a href="http://www.downtowneuropa.be">www.downtowneuropa.be</a> Phone: +32 (0)2 732 35 20	
1	Woodworking machinery	<b>Mr Roland HERRMANN</b>	Mr Roland HERRMANN	NB 0158 DEKRA Testing and Certification GmbH	Enderstraße 92b 01277 Dresden, Germany Phone: +49 351 211 814 30 Fax: +49 351 211 814 11 E-mail: <a href="mailto:Roland.Herrmann@dekra.com">Roland.Herrmann@dekra.com</a> <a href="http://www.dekra-testing-and-certification.de">www.dekra-testing-and-certification.de</a>
2	Meatworking machinery	<b>Mr Olaf GOEBEL</b>	Mr Olaf GOEBEL	NB 0556 Berufsgenossenschaft Nahrungsmittel und Gastgewerbe Geschäftsbereich Prävention	Lortzingstraße 2 D-55127 Mainz, Germany Phone: +49 6131 785645 E-mail: <a href="mailto:olaf.goebel@bgn.de">olaf.goebel@bgn.de</a>
3	Presses for the cold working of metals	<b>Mr Marco MAZZINI</b>		NB 0398 APAVE Italia CPM	Via Artigiani, 63 I-25040 Bienno (BS), Italy Phone: +39 039 8.96.96 Fax: +39 039 38.99.47 E-mail: <a href="mailto:marco.mazzini@apave.com">marco.mazzini@apave.com</a>

**EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION FOR MACHINERY AND SAFETY COMPONENTS  
CHAIRMAN, VICE-CHAIRMAN, SECRETARIATS AND CONVENORS OF THE CO-ORDINATION GROUP FOR NOTIFIED BODIES**

<b>V.G. or H.C N°</b>	<b>Title of the group</b>	<b>Convenor</b>	<b>Secretary</b>	<b>Organisation</b>	<b>Address</b>
4	Injection or compression moulding machines	<b>Mr. Thomas KOESTER</b>		NB 0197 TÜV Rheinland LGA Products GmbH	Am Grauen Stein 29 D-51105 Köln, Germany Phone: +49 221 806 2685 Cell.: +49 172 2050 476 Fax: +49 221 806 369667 E-mail: <a href="mailto:thomas.koester@de.tuv.com">thomas.koester@de.tuv.com</a> <a href="http://www.tuv.com/safety">www.tuv.com/safety</a>
5	Machines for underground work				
6	Refuse collection vehicles	<b>Mr Heinz-Peter HENNECKE</b>	Ms Manuela JADISCHKE	NB 0417 Prüf- und Zertifizierungsstelle des FB Verkehr und Landschaft im DGUV TEST	Wiesbadener Straße, 70 D-65197 Wiesbaden, Germany Phone: +49 611 9413 152 Fax: +49 611 9413 208 E-mail: <a href="mailto:heinz-peter.hennecke@bg-verkehr.de">heinz-peter.hennecke@bg-verkehr.de</a> E-mail: <a href="mailto:manuela.jadischke@bg-verkehr.de">manuela.jadischke@bg-verkehr.de</a>
7	Removable transmission cardan shafts				
8	Vehicles servicing lifts	<b>Mr Tobias HENKE</b>	Ms Steffi BRÜCKNER	NB 0417 Prüf- und Zertifizierungsstelle des FB Verkehr und Landschaft im DGUV Test	Hofmühlenstraße 4 D-01187 Dresden, Germany Phone: +49 (0) 351 423 6 521 Fax: +49 (0) 351 4236 591 E-mail: <a href="mailto:tobias.henke@bg-verkehr.de">tobias.henke@bg-verkehr.de</a> E-mail: <a href="mailto:steffi.brueckner@bg-verkehr.de">steffi.brueckner@bg-verkehr.de</a>
9	Lifting persons device (LPD)	<b>Mr Anton SEIDL</b>		NB 0036 TÜV Süd Industrie Service GmbH	Westendstrasse 199 D-80686 München, Germany Phone: +49 (0) 89 57912193 E-mail: <a href="mailto:anton.seidl@tuvsud.com">anton.seidl@tuvsud.com</a>
10	This VG does not exist anymore				

**EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION FOR MACHINERY AND SAFETY COMPONENTS  
CHAIRMAN, VICE-CHAIRMAN, SECRETARIATS AND CONVENORS OF THE CO-ORDINATION GROUP FOR NOTIFIED BODIES**

V.G. or H.C N°	Title of the group	Convenor	Secretary	Organisation	Address
11	Safety components	<b>Mr Eric FAE</b>	<b>Mr Eric FAE</b>	NB 0080 INERIS Insrtitut national de l'environnement industriel et des risques	Parc Technologique ALATA - BP 2 F-60550 Verneuil en Halatte, France Phone: +33 (0)3-44-55-64-56 E-mail: <a href="mailto:eric.fae@ineris.fr">eric.fae@ineris.fr</a> <a href="http://www.ineris.fr">www.ineris.fr</a>
12	ROPS and FOPS	<b>Mr Peter WINKLER</b>	<b>Mr Peter WINKLER</b>	NB 0515 DGUV Test Prüf- und Zertifizierungsstelle Fachbereich Bauwesen	Am Knie 6 81241 München, Germany Phone: +49 89 8897-876 Fax: +49 800 6686688-38470 E-mail: <a href="mailto:peter.winkler@bgbau.de">peter.winkler@bgbau.de</a> <a href="http://www.dguv.de/fb-bauwesen/pruefzert/index.jsp">www.dguv.de/fb-bauwesen/pruefzert/index.jsp</a>
13	Full quality assurance	<b>Ms Giuseppe PERSANO</b> (interim role)	-	NB 0051 IMQ ISTITUTO ITALIANO DEL MARCHIO DI QUALITÀ	Via Quintiliano, 43 20138 – MILANO - Italy Phone: + 39 0541 322.232 E-mail: <a href="mailto:g.persano@giordano.it">g.persano@giordano.it</a>
14	Portable cartridge-operated fixing and impact machinery				

**EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION FOR MACHINERY AND SAFETY COMPONENTS  
OBSERVERS**

Organisation	Observers	Address
European Commission DG GROW Ecosystems III: Construction & Machinery Unit H.2: Machinery & Equipment	<b>Mr Peter BROERTJES</b>	Avenue d'Auderghem 45 / Oudergemsesteenweg 45 1040 Bruxelles / Brussel Belgium Email: <a href="mailto:Peter.BROERTJES@ec.europa.eu">Peter.BROERTJES@ec.europa.eu</a>
CEN - CENELEC	<b>Mr Hugo DOURADO</b>	Rue de la Science 23 1040 Bruxelles / Brussel Belgium Email: <a href="mailto:hdourado@cencenelec.eu">hdourado@cencenelec.eu</a>

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.220 Revision: 05 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1  Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 07.11.2025
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  Annex: I (MD), III (MR)	Article: -  EHSR (1): 1.3.7 and 1.4	EN/prEN: -  Normative clause: -  CEN TC concerned: -	Other: -  Other clause: -
Key words: Guards			
Question:  Assuming a machine meets all essential safety requirements of the directive/regulation. The manufacturer of this machine adds for any reason an additional guard. Shall this additional guard meet all the requirements of the directive/regulation as defined for guards in requirement 1.4?			
Solution:  Yes.  Any part of a machine regarded as a safety guard shall meet all the requirements of the directive/regulation as defined for guards in requirement 1.4.  E.g.: A manufacturer fits a fixed guard, which prevents access to a hazard area, with an interlocking not required by the directive/regulation or the relevant standards. The interlocking might be understood as a safe shut off of all hazard movements of machine parts behind the fixed guard and the user may omit turning the power switch. Both the fixed guard and the interlocking shall comply with the essential health and safety requirements.			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the "Blue Guide" on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.230 Revision: 06 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1  Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 07.11.2025
Question related to: Directive 2006/42/EC Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  Annex: I (MD), III (MR)	Article: -  EHSR (1): 1.5.1	EN/prEN: -  Normative clause: -  CEN TC concerned: -	Other: -  Other clause: -
Key words: Low voltage, tests, report, declaration, electrical components			
Question:  To what extent can a notified body accept certificates for electrical/electronic components of machinery?			
Solution:  The intention is to create a document that may be used by all Notified Bodies to determine the acceptability of electrical components.  <b>EXAMPLES</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The list of components given in the columns is non exhaustive and only meant as indication.</li> <li>2. In all cases, the actual use of the component has to be considered and it has to be decided if it is used as a functional or as a safety component.</li> <li>3. It should be checked whether the declaration and/or certificate of conformity with a specific directive (EMC, Low voltage) or a standard allow to cover the specific requirements of the Machinery Directive/Regulation for the component concerned.</li> </ol>			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the “Blue Guide” on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.513 Revision: 02 Language: EN																
Number of pages: 1	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by:	Approved on:																
Origin: developed by the Ad-Hoc Working group on items 5 and 6 of Annex: I part A of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230		<input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	- 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 07.11.2025																
Question related to: Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  Annex: I part A item 5 Item 6 Annex II	Article: -  EHSR (1): -	EN/prEN: -  Normative clause: -  CEN TC concerned: -	Other: See reference documents mentioned in main text below Other clause: -																
Key words: Annex: I part A item 5 Item 6 - Categories of Machinery or related products covered by Annex I, Part A6																			
Question:  For Categories of Machinery or related products covered by Annex I, Part A6): Does the conformity assessment by an NB as regards the procedure referred to in Article 25 (2) and (3) concern a complete “machinery with embedded systems...” or only the “embedded systems”?																			
Solution:  For Categories of Machinery or related products covered by Annex I, Part A6): Only “ <b>embedded systems</b> ” shall be assessed and covered within the procedure referred in article 25(2) and (3).																			
<u>References</u>																			
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Number</th> <th>Designation</th> <th>Link</th> <th>Remark</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1)</td> <td>Regulation (EU) 2023/1230 on machinery (MR)</td> <td><a href="#">Regulation - 2023/1230 - EN - EUR-Lex</a></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td>Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 on artificial intelligence (AI-Act)</td> <td><a href="#">Regulation - 2024/1689 - EN - EUR-Lex</a></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td>Commission Implementing Decision on Standardisation Request in Support of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230</td> <td><a href="#">Register of Commission Documents - C(2025)129</a></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Number	Designation	Link	Remark	1)	Regulation (EU) 2023/1230 on machinery (MR)	<a href="#">Regulation - 2023/1230 - EN - EUR-Lex</a>		2)	Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 on artificial intelligence (AI-Act)	<a href="#">Regulation - 2024/1689 - EN - EUR-Lex</a>		3)	Commission Implementing Decision on Standardisation Request in Support of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230	<a href="#">Register of Commission Documents - C(2025)129</a>				
Number	Designation	Link	Remark																
1)	Regulation (EU) 2023/1230 on machinery (MR)	<a href="#">Regulation - 2023/1230 - EN - EUR-Lex</a>																	
2)	Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 on artificial intelligence (AI-Act)	<a href="#">Regulation - 2024/1689 - EN - EUR-Lex</a>																	
3)	Commission Implementing Decision on Standardisation Request in Support of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230	<a href="#">Register of Commission Documents - C(2025)129</a>																	

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the “Blue Guide” on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the notified bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.514 Revision: 02 Language: EN																
Number of pages: 1  Origin: developed by the Ad-Hoc Working group on items 5 and 6 of Annex: I part A of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025  Endorsed on: 07.11.2025																
Question related to: Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  Annex: I part A item 5 Item 6 Annex II	Article: -  EHSR (1): -	EN/prEN: -  Normative clause: -  CEN TC concerned: -	Other: See reference documents mentioned in main text below Other clause: -																
Key words: Annex: I part A item 5 Item 6; Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 (AI-Act); Notification procedure for NB-M																			
Question:  What are the requirements relating to Machinery Notified Bodies (NB-M) in relation to Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 (AI-Act) for the EU TYPE-EXAMINATION (Annex VII) / FULL QUALITY ASSURANCE (Annex IX) / UNIT VERIFICATION (Annex X) on category of machinery or related product covered by Annex I part A 5) or 6)?																			
Solution:  <b>NB-M</b> shall be entitled to control the conformity of the high-risk AI systems with the requirements set out in Section 2 of Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 (AI-Act). [Wording taken from (EU) 2024/1689 Article 43 3.]  <b>NB-M</b> covering scope of Annex I, Part A 5) and/or 6) shall provide compliance with the requirements laid down in (EU) 2024/1689 (AI-Act) <b>Article 31(4), (5), (10) and (11)</b> .  A Notified Body for Machinery ( <b>NB-M</b> ), covering scope of Annex I, Part A 5) and/or 6) <u>is not required</u> to be a (full) Notified Body acc. to Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 (AI-Act) ( <b>NB-AI</b> ).  <u>References</u>																			
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Number</th> <th>Designation</th> <th>Link</th> <th>Remark</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1)</td> <td>Regulation (EU) 2023/1230 on machinery (MR)</td> <td><a href="#">Regulation - 2023/1230 - EN - EUR-Lex</a></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td>Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 on artificial intelligence (AI-Act)</td> <td><a href="#">Regulation - 2024/1689 - EN - EUR-Lex</a></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td>Commission Implementing Decision on Standardisation Request in Support of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230</td> <td><a href="#">Register of Commission Documents - C(2025)129</a></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Number	Designation	Link	Remark	1)	Regulation (EU) 2023/1230 on machinery (MR)	<a href="#">Regulation - 2023/1230 - EN - EUR-Lex</a>		2)	Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 on artificial intelligence (AI-Act)	<a href="#">Regulation - 2024/1689 - EN - EUR-Lex</a>		3)	Commission Implementing Decision on Standardisation Request in Support of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230	<a href="#">Register of Commission Documents - C(2025)129</a>				
Number	Designation	Link	Remark																
1)	Regulation (EU) 2023/1230 on machinery (MR)	<a href="#">Regulation - 2023/1230 - EN - EUR-Lex</a>																	
2)	Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 on artificial intelligence (AI-Act)	<a href="#">Regulation - 2024/1689 - EN - EUR-Lex</a>																	
3)	Commission Implementing Decision on Standardisation Request in Support of Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230	<a href="#">Register of Commission Documents - C(2025)129</a>																	

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the “Blue Guide” on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.

	<b>EUROPEAN CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES FOR MACHINERY</b>  <b>RECOMMENDATION FOR USE</b>		CNB/M/00.518 Revision: 02 Language: EN
Number of pages: 1  Origin: Horizontal Committee	Date: 28.11.2025	To be approved by: <input type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group ..... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee ..... To be endorsed by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machinery Expert Group .....	Approved on: - 05.06.2025 Endorsed on: 07.11.2025
Question related to: Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230  Annex: V, Section 6.2	Article: -  EHSR (1): -	EN/prEN: -  Normative clause: -  CEN TC concerned: -	Other:  Other clause: -
Key words: Validity of EU type-examination certificates in relation to Machinery Regulation (EU) 2023/1230			
Question:  What is the maximum validity of EU type-examination certificates issued under Regulation (EU) 2023/1230, if the certification decision was done before 20.01.2027? The maximum validity of EU type-examination certificates is 5 years. If a certification decision was done before 20.01.2027, does the 5-year period start from the date of the certification decision date or from 20.01.2027?			
Solution:  The 5-year-period starts from the EU type-examination certificate issuing date.  If a certification decision was done before 20.01.2027 the manufacturer shall use the EU type-examination certificate from 20.01.2027.  The EU type-examination certificate can be used from 20.01.2027.			

(1) Essential health and safety requirement

Note: According to point 5.2.4 Coordination between Notified Bodies of the "Blue Guide" on the implementation of EU product rules 2022, the Notified Bodies apply as general guidance this recommendation for use.